arXiv:1408.4867v1 [math.FA] 21 Aug 2014

FURSTENBERG THEOREM FOR FREQUENTLY HYPERCYCLIC OPERATORS

Eunsang Kim[a,1], and Tae Ryong Park [b,2]

[a] *Department of Applied Mathematics ,*
Hanyang University, Ansan Kyunggi-do, Korea
[b] *Department of Computer Engineering ,*
Seokyeong University, Seoul, Korea

Abstract

In this paper, we show that if the direct sum $T \oplus T$ of frequently hypercyclic operators is frequently hypercyclic, then every higher direct sum $T \oplus \cdots \oplus T$ is also frequently hypercyclic.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A16.
Key words and phrases. hypercyclic operator, frequently hypercyclic operator.
This work was supported by Seokyeong University in 2012.
[1]eskim@hanyang.ac.kr
[2]trpark@skuniv.ac.kr

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the dynamics of linear operators on a separable F-space X. A bounded linear operator T on X is said to be hypercyclic if there is a vector $x \in X$ such that the orbit $O(x,T) = \{T^n x \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in X. The operator T is said to be topologically transitive if, for every pair of non-empty open subsets U and V, there is an integer n such that $T^n U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. By the Baire category theorem, topological transitivity of T is equivalent to the hypercyclicity of T. See [8] and [4] for details and references. If $T \oplus T$ is hypercyclic, then the operator T is called weakly mixing. It is shown in [5] that the weakly mixing property is equivalent to the Hypercyclicity Criterion. On the other hand, as shown in [9] and [3], hypercyclic operators may not be weakly mixing, see also [1]. An interesting fact is so-called the Furstenberg theorem, which is given as follows: if T is weakly mixing, then the n-fold product is $T \times \cdots \times T$ is weakly mixing for $n \geq 2$. The proof is given in [8] by using the 4-set trick. In the linear setting we have

Theorem 1. *Let X be a separable F-space. If $T \oplus T$ is hypercyclic, then the higher sum $T \oplus \cdots \oplus T$ is also hypercyclic.* □

The T-orbit of a hypercyclic operator visits each non-empty open subsets of X. Then it is natural to ask how often the orbit visits each non-empty open sets in X and it leads to the notion of the frequently hypercyclic operators which has been introduced by Bayart and Grivaux, see [2] and [6]. In [7], it is shown that every frequently hypercyclic operator is weakly mixing. Based on ideas given in [7], we prove the Furstenberg theorem for the frequently hypercyclic operators.

2. Frequently Hypercyclic Operators

Let X be a separable F-space and let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ be the space of continuous linear operators on X. By definition, an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is hypercyclic if there is a vector $x \in X$ such that the orbit

$$O(x,T) = \{T^n x \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is dense in X. In other words, the T-orbit $O(x,T)$ intersects with each non-empty open set U in X. For a non-empty open subset U of X, define

$$\mathbf{N}(x,U) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid T^n x \in U\}.$$

If an operator T on X is hypercyclic, then there is a vector $x \in X$ such that for each non-empty open set U in X, the set $\mathbf{N}(x,U)$ are all non-empty. For any non-empty open sets U and V, let us define the *return set* as follows:

$$\mathbf{N}(U,V) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid T^n U \cap V \neq \emptyset\}.$$

By the topological transitivit, if T is hypercyclic, then each set $\mathbf{N}(U,V)$ is non-empty.

If T is weakly mixing, then there is a natural number n such that for each open subsets U_1, U_2 and V_1, V_2 of X such that

$$T^n U_1 \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad T^n U_2 \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

Then the $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is weakly mixing if and only if

$$\mathbf{N}(U_1, V_1) \cap \mathbf{N}(U_2, V_2) \neq \emptyset. \tag{1}$$

See [7], [8] and [4] for other formulas which are equivalent to (1).

The frequently hypercyclicity corresponds to the largeness of each sets $\mathbf{N}(x, U)$, in other words, how frequently the T-orbit intersects with each open set U. Let us first recall that the lower density of a subset A in $\mathbb{N}$ which is given by

$$\underline{\text{dens}}(A) = \liminf_{N\to\infty} \frac{|A \cap [1, N]|}{N}$$

where $|A \cap [1, N]|$ denotes the cardinality of the set $A \cap [1, N]$.

Definition 1. Let X be a topological vector space and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. The operator T is called *frequently hypercyclic* if there is a vector $x \in X$ such that for every non-empty open set U, $\mathbf{N}(x, U)$ has positive lower density. Such a vector x is called frequently hypercyclic for T and the set of all frequently hypercyclic vectors for T is denoted by $FHC(T)$.

If we enumerate an infinite set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ as an increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, then it is easy to see that A has positive lower density if and only if there is a constant C such that

$$n_k \leq Ck \qquad \text{for all } k \geq 1$$

Thus, a vector $x \in X$ is frequently hypercyclic for T if and only if for each non-empty open subset U of X, there is a strictly increasing sequence (n_k) and some constant C such that

$$T^{n_k} x \in U \quad \text{and} \quad n_k \leq Ck$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We now prove the Furstenberg theorem for frequently hypercyclic operators.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a separable F-space and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If $T \oplus T$ is frequently hypercyclic, then 3-fold sum $T \oplus T \oplus T$ is also frequently hypercyclic.*

Proof. We will show that there is a vector $x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \in X \oplus X \oplus X$ satisfying the following property: for each non-empty open subsets U_1, U_2 and U_3 of X, there is a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers and a constant C such that for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$T^{n_k} x_i \in U_i \quad \text{and} \quad n_k \leq Ck \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

First, we note that if $T \oplus T$ is frequently hypercyclic, then $T \oplus T$ is hypercyclic. By the Furstenberg theorem $T \oplus T \oplus T$ is also hypercyclic. Thus there is a hypercyclic vector $x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \in X \oplus X \oplus X$ such that for each non-empty open subsets U_1, U_2 and U_3 of X,

$$\mathbf{N}(x_1, U_1) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_2, U_2) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_3, U_3) \neq \emptyset$$

Suppose that $x_1 \oplus x_2 \in FHC(T \oplus T)$. Then for non-empty open sets U_1 and U_2 there is a strictly increasing sequence $(m_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a constant C_1 such that

$$T^{m_k} x_1 \in U_1, \quad T^{m_k} x_2 \in U_2 \quad \text{and} \quad m_k \leq C_1 k$$

Since T is hypercyclic, the set $\mathbf{N}(U_1, U_2) := \{l \in \mathbb{N} \mid T^l U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset\}$ is non-empty and the T-orbit $O(x_1, T)$ is dense in X, there is an increasing sequence $(b_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$x_2 = \lim_{j\to\infty} T^{b_j} x_1 \tag{2}$$

Since T is continuous,

$$T^{m_k} x_2 = \lim_{j\to\infty} T^{b_j} T^{m_k} x_1 \in U_2 \tag{3}$$

Thus there is an integer N such that for all $j \geq 1$

$$T^{b_{j+N}} T^{m_k} x_1 \in U_2$$

In particular, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$T^{b_{k+N}} T^{m_k} \in U_2 \quad \text{and} \quad T^{b_{k+N}} U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$$

In other words, the sequence $(b_{k+N})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is in $\mathbf{N}(U_1, U_2)$ and since x_1 and x_2 are frequently hypercyclic, the sequence $(b_{k+N})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $b_{k+N} = O(k)$ (*cf.* [1]). Let

$$U_{1k} = U_1 \cap T^{-b_{k+N}} U_2$$

Then $\mathbf{N}(x_1, U_{1k}) \subset \mathbf{N}(x_1, U_1)$. If $l \in \mathbf{N}(x_1, U_{1k})$ then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$T^l x_1 \in U_1 \quad \text{and} \quad T^{b_{k+N}} T^l x_1 \in U_2$$

By (2) and $T^{b_{k+N}} T^l x_1 = T^l T^{b_{k+N}} x_1$, we get $l \in \mathbf{N}(x_1, U_{1k})$. Thus

$$\mathbf{N}(x_1, U_{1k}) \subset \mathbf{N}(x_1, U_1) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_2, U_2) \tag{4}$$

Applying the same argument for $\mathbf{N}(x_2, U_2) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_3, U_3)$ we may obtain

$$\mathbf{N}(x_2, U_{2j}) \subset \mathbf{N}(x_2, U_2) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_3, U_3) \tag{5}$$

Now by (4) and (5)

$$\mathbf{N}(x_1, U_{1k}) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_2, U_{2j}) \subset \mathbf{N}(x_1, U_1) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_2, U_2) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_3, U_3) \tag{6}$$

Since $x_1 \oplus x_2 \in FHC(T \oplus T)$, there is a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, which may be an enumeration of thet set $\mathbf{N}(x_1, U_{1k}) \cap \mathbf{N}(x_2, U_{2j})$, such that for some constant C, and for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$T^{n_k} x_i \in U_i \quad \text{and} \quad n_k \leq Ck \quad \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

as desired. □

By proceeding induction, we have the main result

Theorem 3. *Let X be a separable F-space and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$. If $T \oplus T$ is frequently hypercyclic, then the higher product $T \oplus \cdots \oplus T$ is also frequently hypercyclic.* □

References

[1] F. Bayart and É. Matheron, '(Non)-weakly mixing operators and hypercyclicity sets, *Ann. Inst. Four.* (2009).

[2] F. Bayart and S. Grivaux, 'Frequently hypercyclic operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), 5083-5117.

[3] F. Bayart and É. Matheron, 'Hypercyclic operators failing the Hypercyclicity Criterion on classical Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **250** (2007) 426-441.

[4] F. Bayart and É. Matheron, *Dynamics of Linear Operators* (Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **179**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).

[5] J. Bés and A. Peris, 'Hereditarily hypercyclic operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **167** (1999), 94-112.

[6] A. Bonilla and K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, 'Frequently hypercyclic operators and vectors, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* bf 27 (2007), 383-404. Erratum: *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **29** (2009), 1993-1994.

[7] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris, 'Frequently dense orbits, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **341** (2005), 123-128.

[8] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris, *Linear Chaos*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, London, (2011).

[9] M. De La Rosa and C.J. Read, *A hypercyclic operator whose direct sum is not hypercyclic*, J. Operator Theory **61** (20090, 369-380.